Alliance to End Homelessness in Suburban Cook County
2019 HUD NOFA Ranking Form
Adopted: May 24, 2019

NEW PROJECT WRITTEN REVIEW: Permanent Supportive Housing (PSH), Rapid Re-Housing (RRH) or Joint Transitional Housing and Rapid Re-Housing (TH/RRH)

PROJECT NAME: _____

THRESHOLD QUESTIONS: All require "YES" to move forward

Criteria	Yes/No	Comments
The project meets HUD NOFA eligibility criteria.		
The project serves persons who meet HUD's NOFA definition of homeless.		
Amount of matching funds meets HUD's standards and corroborative written agreements are included.		
Lead agency has current (within past 18 months) audit without findings or sufficient explanation with corrective action.		
Agency agrees to fill 100% of beds through the Coordinated Entry System		

I. Project Meets Need of Continuum	Points Available	Points Awarded
A. Project Type: RRH or TH/RRH **AND** Region: North or West Population: All populations types (family, individuals, youth)	Yes: 3 points No: 0 points	
B. Project Type: PSH **AND** Region: South **AND** Population: Adults (non-Veterans)	Yes: 3 points No: 0 points	
C. Less Restrictive Eligibility Criteria	Maximum 5 points (see Alliance application form)	
D. Low Demand Service Model	Maximum 5 points (see Alliance application form)	
	Category I Total Points (maximum: 13)	

II. Applicant Agency Works to Strengthen the Continuum	Points Available	Points Awarded
A. Attendance at CBSA Meetings	70% or more: 3 points < 70%: 0 points	
B. Active in CBSA and Alliance committees	Yes: 2 points No: 0 points	
	Category II Total Points (maximum: 5)	

Alliance to End Homelessness in Suburban Cook County
2019 HUD NOFA Ranking Form
Adopted: May 24, 2019

III. Project Will Meet Continuum Standards and Expectations	Points Available	Points Awarded
NOTE: DV agencies that are members of the CoC that use Infonet (an equivalent database to HMIS) and cannot be scored will be given full points. DV agencies that are not part of CoC are scored based on their HMIS equivalent database plan.		
A. Is agency able to effectively participate in the Alliance HMIS system as evidenced by either: *Currently using HMIS well (scored 11-13 points for Category III on Renewal Instrument)*	5 points	
Currently using HMIS adequately (scored 8-10 points for Category III on Renewal Instrument)	3 points	
Currently using HMIS inadequately (scored less than 8 points for Category III on Renewal Instrument)	0 points	
OR		
Not currently part of HMIS but has a strong HMIS plan	3 points	
Not currently part of HMIS but has an adequate HMIS plan	1 point	
Not currently part of HMIS and has no or a very poor HMIS plan	0 points	
B. Agency or partnership demonstrates strong fiscal capacity in proportion to project budget (i.e. HUD request is in reasonable proportion to agency/partnership budget and/or has been operating similar financially sized projects)	High capacity: 6 points Probable capacity: 3 points Unlikely: 0 points	
C. Project demonstrates capacity to coordinate with mainstream resources (SSI, SSDI, TANF, Medicaid or Marketplace Insurance, Food Stamps, All Kids, WIOA, Veterans Health Care) by identifying adequate staff to provide comprehensive service linkages for clients.	Yes: 2 points No: 0 points	
D. Agency or partnership demonstrates programmatic ability to implement and operate project successfully: *It has been operating similar projects successfully*	4 points	
It is not operating a similar project but has the proposed resources and programmatic expertise	2 points	
It does not seem likely that project will be operated successfully	0 points	
E. Projected staffing resources is appropriate for project.	Yes: 2 points No: 0 points	
F. There is a plan outlined for an evaluation and quality improvement process for this project.	Good plan and process: 3 points Adequate plan and process: 1 point Weak/No plan and process: 0 points	
G. This project outlines how it will assist clients to successfully obtain and maintain housing.	Good plan: 3 points Weak plan: 1 point Does not address: 0 points	

H. The type, frequency and duration of the supportive services proposed fit the needs of the population to be served.	Meets needs well: 3 points Meets needs satisfactorily: 1 point Does not meet needs: 0 points	
I. This project outlines a plan that will increase client income.	Good plan: 3 points Satisfactory plan: 1 point No plan: 0 points	
J. The agency is partnering with Coordinated Entry (CE) effectively (scores used from the renewal applications)		
Currently partnering with the CE system well (scored 8-10 on renewal projects)	5 points	
Currently partnering with the CE system adequately (scored 6-7 on renewal projects)	3 points	
Currently partnering inadequately with the coordinated entry system (scored 5 or below on renewal projects)	0 points	
OR		
Not currently partnering with the CE system, but understands CE, commits to following CE policies and procedures, sending staff to required CE training and case conferencing.	3 points	
Not currently partnering with the CE system and lacks understanding of CE has not committed to sending staff to required CE training and case conferencing.	0 points	
	Category III Total Points (maximum: 36)	

Written Scoring Summary	Points Awarded
Category I. Project Meets Need of Continuum	/13 maximum points
Category II. Applicant Agency Works to Strengthen the Continuum	/5 maximum points
Category III. Project Will Meet Continuum Standards and Expectations	/36 maximum points
WRITTEN Review Total	**/54 maximum points**

Please calculate this ratio. It will only be used if there is a tie in the final score between projects.

1) Total Housing dollars requested for operating, leasing, construction, rehab, and acquisition = $_____

2) Total Service dollars requested for supportive services only = $_____

   NOTE: Do not include HMIS or Administration dollars for either 1 or 2

3) Divide Housing dollars requested by Service dollars requested to get the ratio

   Housing/Service Ratio = _____